

Relevance of Rawls' Theory of Justice

Prof. Bhawana Jharta
Department of Political Science
CDOE
H.P. University, Shimla

Suchitra Devi
Assistant Professor
Department of Political Science
ABV Govt. Degree College, Sunni
Email:suchitrahpu@gmail.com

Abstract

John Rawls' Theory of Justice remains a cornerstone in contemporary political philosophy, profoundly shaping discourse on justice, equality, and fairness across diverse domains. His seminal work, "A Theory of Justice," published in 1971, redirected focus from traditional concerns of order and stability to profound questions of distributive justice and societal fairness. Rawls' framework proposes principles ensuring a just society where inequalities are justified only if benefiting the least advantaged, revitalizing normative political theory by emphasizing ethical inquiry over empirical analysis. His enduring impact spans healthcare, sustainable development, racial justice, and policy-making globally, offering a robust framework for addressing systemic inequalities and environmental challenges. In this paper the authors have examined the Relevance of Rawls' theory of justice in the present time. Rawls' enduring influence is evident in contemporary interdisciplinary debates, where scholars continue to engage with and apply his ideas across political philosophy, economics, law, ethics, and beyond. His emphasis on justice and fairness serves as a crucial guide for navigating complex ethical issues and envisioning more equitable societies globally.

Keywords

Original Position, Difference Principle, Veil of Ignorance, Sustainable, Development, Just Saving Principle.

Reference to this paper
should be made as
follows:

Received: 10.04.2024
Approved: 12.06.2024

Prof. Bhawana Jharta,
Suchitra Devi

Relevance of Rawls'
Theory of Justice

Vol. XV, No.1
Article No.08,
pp. 54-63

Similarity Check: 12%

Online available at
[https://anubooks.com/
journal/journal-global-
values](https://anubooks.com/journal/journal-global-values)

DOI: [https://doi.org/
10.31995/
jgv.2024.v15i01.08](https://doi.org/10.31995/jgv.2024.v15i01.08)

Introduction

John Rawls' theory of justice, primarily articulated in his work 'A Theory of Justice' posits that a fair and just society is one organized around principles that individuals would choose under conditions of equality. Central to Rawls' theory is the concept of the original position a hypothetical scenario where individuals are stripped of knowledge about the rules that will govern them. Rawls states that in such a situation, rational individuals would select two principles of justice: the first ensures equal basic liberties for all, while the second, known as the difference principle, permits social and economic inequalities only if it benefits the least advantaged members of society. Rawls' principles underscore the importance of fairness and equality in public policy. The original position and the veil of ignorance encourage policymakers to design laws and institutions that are just and equitable, ensuring that even the least advantaged members of the society benefit. By promoting a societal structure where opportunities and resources are distributed more equitably, Rawls' theory continues to influence debates on justice and normative issues in political theory.

John Rawls and the Resurgence of Normative Political Theory

John Rawls' 'A Theory of Justice,' marked a significant contribution to political philosophy by revolutionizing its methodologies and inquiries. Rawls demonstrated that political philosophy, which had been severely impacted by the world wars, could still aspire to grand and profound questions. His focus was on determining the correct understanding of distributive justice, which pertains to the fair allocation of resources. Following Rawls, political philosophers shifted their focus from issues like order, stability, revolution, and oppression to questions about distribution and redistribution. They began exploring ways to reduce social inequality and sought to provide justifications for existing disparities.

Rawls redirected the attention of political philosophy from narrow concerns to broader issues of equitable resource distribution and social cooperation. He is credited with revitalizing political philosophy by creating a fresh narrative that fundamentally altered the major themes of the field. Rawls can be considered the progenitor of modern political theory, akin to how Plato is seen as the founder of political philosophy and Machiavelli as the pioneer of modern political thought. Unlike modern political theory, which emphasizes facts and empirical analysis, Rawls revitalized classical political theory by focusing on values. This positions Rawls as one of the most important thinkers of the 20th century.

The events of the 20th century, including World War I, Germany's humiliation, the collapse of the Weimar Republic, the emergence of Nazism, World War II, and the Holocaust, raised doubts about the feasibility of liberal democracy. Rawls supported the feasibility of liberal democracy and proposed a theory of justice for an ideally fair liberal democracy (Weithman, 2009, p. 124). After years of neglect, Rawls reinvigorated the field of political philosophy and social justice. He demonstrated a profound comprehension of the new moral awareness that characterized the post-World War II era (Audard, 2007, p. 2). As Bellamy (2003) puts it, "Like the social liberals, Rawls' theory tries to combine the liberal values of liberty and equality with a concern for social liberal fashion, by the requirement of efficiency" (p. 43).

Rawls made a significant contribution to political philosophy's past and present. He had a keen interest in the theories of numerous philosophers, including Kant, and penned a book about the development of moral philosophy. In considering justice and fairness, Rawls adhered to the fundamental ideas of classical political philosophy. However, he used contemporary analytical philosophy to organize his theory of political philosophy. His research on justice emphasized the importance of studying substantive moral concepts, overcoming the formalism trend in 20th-century ethics studies.

Rawls was aware that studying political philosophy required a consistent foundation in the semantic and logical analysis techniques developed in modern philosophy. He believed that a conclusion could be reached by carefully examining language and logic and by thoughtfully balancing various moral concepts. His main goal was to clarify the prerequisites for thinking about justice and fairness. Similar to other political philosophers, Rawls' investigation into "what is fairness and justice," or "what they should be," is a philosophical appraisal of the virtues and vices of political theories and potential stances, but he discussed these topics from the perspective of contemporary philosophy (Yanqing & Xinsheng, 2006, pp. 509–510).

In the West, Rawls brought political philosophy back to life. Through the publication of 'A Theory of Justice,' he revitalized an academic pursuit that many believed was in danger of going extinct. Not only did Rawls present a convincing demonstration that one could still conduct productive work in political philosophy, but he also set an example that many others might (and did) follow. As Douglas (2012) notes, Rawls' conception of justice sparked critical debate and acted as a catalyst for the refutation of numerous other ideas (pp. 81–82).

Rawls embodied the values of the liberal left in the 1960s and is seen as a forerunner of a middle ground between libertarianism and social democracy. He advocated for a new idea of social justice that aimed to surpass the constraints of the capitalist welfare system, using justice as its standard. His ideas influenced several progressive parties in Europe by reinstating the concept of justice as a central argument in politics, a position it had held since Plato's time. He separated social justice from the many moral aspirations and goals of individuals in society, demonstrating a commitment to pluralism. Rawls' theory of justice was exceptional due to its intrinsic merit, highlighting freedom, equality, efficiency, and stability as key conditions for a well-organized democratic society (Mukherji, 2014, pp. 508-509).

Rawls' 'A Theory of Justice' extended beyond its historical context by proposing that its principles of justice are timeless, seeking to validate these principles without relying on historical context. His work positions itself as part of a longstanding tradition in moral and political philosophy dating back to the nineteenth century (Bevor & Galisanka, 2012, pp. 724-725). Rawls significantly advanced the discipline of political philosophy by emphasizing the historical aspect of political philosophy. He believed that studying the history of philosophy is crucial as it might enhance our comprehension of current issues. Rawls recognized the importance of significant philosophical works, viewing them as conveying profound and unique teachings. Influenced by numerous philosophers, Rawls borrowed various concepts from them, contributing to the resurgence of normative political theory in modern times.

John Rawls' Theory of Justice and Its Impact on Health

John Rawls' seminal work, 'A Theory of Justice,' provides a comprehensive framework that extends beyond political philosophy to address the practical issue of healthcare. Rawls' principles emphasize fairness, equality, and justice, which can be applied effectively to these field. Rawls argues that the stability of a just constitutional democracy relies on fair equality of opportunity, equal distribution of income and wealth, and a primary healthcare system, among other factors (Rawls, 1999, p. 50). His principles of justice can guide the structuring of a healthcare system to ensure that everyone, regardless of social standing, has equitable access to healthcare. Daniels (as referenced in Brooks & Freyenhagen, 2005, p. 9) has rigorously analyzed Rawls' theory in the context of distributive justice and healthcare, arguing that healthcare should be governed by principles that guarantee equitable access to opportunities. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the inequities within the neoliberal economic system, prompting reflection on the need for universal

healthcare and protection for the most vulnerable, which aligns with Rawls' vision of justice and fairness (Rashid, 2020). Rawls' difference principle—which states that inequalities are justified only if they benefit the least advantaged members of society—has significant implications for healthcare. It suggests that a fair healthcare system should aim to improve the health of the least well-off, ensuring that everyone receives a minimum standard of care (Fritz and Cox, 2019). By applying Rawls' veil of ignorance—a thought experiment where individuals design society without knowing their place in it—policymakers can create a healthcare system that prioritizes the needs of all, especially the most disadvantaged. Rawls posits that justice is achieved when the most disadvantaged members of society are supported and when individuals' life paths are not determined by their birth circumstances (Ashirvatham, 1998, p. 353). His approach offers a foundational philosophy for structuring a healthcare system, addressing conflicts, and ensuring fairness in access to healthcare resources.

Rawls' Principles for Justice and Sustainable Development

Environmental and sustainable development issues are pressing concerns today. Rawls' Theory of Justice offers a critique of utilitarian philosophy and provides a framework for addressing natural resource usage and environmental impact. The difference principle has been applied to ensure that future generations have access to sufficient natural resources and a livable environment (Penn, 1990, p. 227). Rawls' focus on intergenerational justice highlights that no generation should bear an unfair burden in establishing and maintaining just institutions. For instance, while early generations should not deplete fossil fuels unsustainably, they should also not be so frugal that they cannot use them at all (Fritz & Cox, 2019, p. 3). This balanced approach promotes economic progress without compromising environmental sustainability. Rawls' principles underscore the importance of sustainable development by advocating for the conservation of natural resources for future generations. Sustainable development aligns with Rawls' just-saving principle, ensuring that the ecological conditions necessary for life are maintained and that just institutions are supported (Langhelle, 2000, p. 307). Rawls' just-saving principle is particularly relevant to sustainable development. It emphasizes the need for each generation to preserve cultural and civilizational benefits while maintaining the natural systems that support life. This principle ensures that the benefits of social cooperation are shared across generations, and that no generation exploits resources at the expense of future generations (Singh, 2019, p. 59). In conclusion, John Rawls' Theory of Justice provides a robust framework for addressing contemporary issues in healthcare and sustainable development. By emphasizing fairness, equality, and

intergenerational justice, Rawls' principles offer valuable guidance for creating equitable healthcare systems and ensuring sustainable use of natural resources, benefiting both present and future generations.

Racial Justice, Ethnic Conflicts, and Rawls' Theory of Justice

John Rawls' Theory of Justice offers a sophisticated framework that extends beyond economic fairness to encompass racial justice and the resolution of ethnic conflicts. While Rawls did not directly address race, his principles provide a robust liberal framework for analyzing and addressing these issues in contemporary society. Rawls' concept of the original position and veil of ignorance is particularly relevant for addressing racial and ethnic injustices. In the original position, individuals design society's principles without knowing their own social status, race, or ethnicity. This thought experiment encourages the creation of fair and unbiased policies, as decision-makers are stripped of personal biases and privileges. If individuals operated behind a veil of ignorance, they would likely support principles that ensure fair treatment and equal opportunities for all, regardless of race or ethnicity.

The basic liberty principle in Rawls' theory asserts that all citizens, regardless of race, have the right to individual freedom and political equality. Despite recognition of this principle by democratic states, ethnic minorities often face systemic discrimination and racial bias, such as police brutality, which disproportionately affects Black men in the United States. The fundamental requirement for achieving racial justice is that public institutions treat citizens from ethnic minorities with the same dignity and respect as everyone else. The principle of fair equality of opportunity has significant implications for racial justice. In a society structured around this principle, all individuals, irrespective of ethnicity, would have equal opportunities to develop their talents and compete for jobs and positions. This would eliminate systemic inequities among different racial groups. Chandler (2023, pp. 185-186) notes that Rawls' idea of fair equality of opportunity would ensure that everyone, regardless of ethnicity, has the same chance to succeed, thereby addressing racial disparities in education, employment, and other areas.

While Rawls' work does not directly tackle ethnic conflict, his theories offer a framework for considering how to mitigate such tensions. Rawls emphasizes the importance of just and fair social structures that account for the needs and interests of all individuals, regardless of their social status or personal characteristics. This approach can be applied to reduce ethnic conflicts by promoting principles of justice that ensure fair treatment of all ethnic groups within a society. In the context of

ethnic conflict, Rawls' theory could guide policymakers to create social and political institutions that guarantee equal opportunities, protect minority rights, and distribute resources equitably among all ethnic groups. By adopting the original position and the veil of ignorance, decision-makers can design policies that are impartial and inclusive of the perspectives and needs of all ethnic communities. Rawls' difference principle, which permits inequalities only if they benefit the least advantaged, can also be applied to ethnic minorities. This principle would support policies aimed at improving the conditions of marginalized ethnic groups, ensuring that societal inequalities do not disproportionately harm any particular group. John Rawls' Theory of Justice provides a powerful framework for addressing racial justice and ethnic conflicts. By applying the principles of the original position and the veil of ignorance, policymakers can design fair and unbiased policies that promote equal treatment and opportunities for all individuals, regardless of race or ethnicity. Rawls' emphasis on basic liberties, fair equality of opportunity, and the difference principle offers a comprehensive approach to creating just social structures and mitigating ethnic tensions. While Rawls' work does not offer direct solutions to ethnic conflict, it provides a philosophical foundation for thinking about justice and fairness in diverse societies, which is essential for building social cohesion and addressing racial and ethnic injustices.

Rawls' Theory of Justice in the Indian Context

John Rawls' Theory of Justice is highly pertinent to the Indian setting, especially considering its focus on the least advantaged segments of society. This relevance is reflected in the Indian Constitution, which enshrines provisions aimed at uplifting disadvantaged communities and promoting equality and justice for all citizens. The Indian Constitution emphasizes both liberty and equality, as articulated in the Preamble and various constitutional articles. This focus aligns closely with Rawls' commitment to justice and equality, where deviations from equality must be justified by their ability to benefit the least advantaged.

The Indian Constitution goes further to address historical injustices and socio-economic disparities through policies of affirmative action. This includes reservations for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and Other Backward Classes in legislative bodies, administration, and educational institutions. These measures are designed to provide:

- 1. Access to Valued Resources and Positions:** Reserved seats in educational institutions and government jobs ensure that historically marginalized communities have opportunities to advance.

2. **Provision of Services:** Scholarships, grants, loans, land allotments, healthcare, and legal aid help these communities overcome socio-economic barriers.
3. **Protection from Unfair Practices:** Policies safeguard against discriminatory practices that victimize backward castes and classes.

These initiatives align with Rawls' principle that inequalities are permissible only if they benefit the least advantaged members of society. By ensuring that marginalized communities have enhanced opportunities and protections, India strives to fulfill the promise of social justice enshrined in its Constitution (Bhargava & Acharya, 2017, p.80).

Rawls' theory is beneficial especially to developing and underprivileged nations. His emphasis on justice and fairness provides a framework for addressing deep-rooted inequalities and fostering social progress. In India, the principles of justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity are embedded throughout the Constitution, which reflects the significance of Rawls' theory of justice in the Indian context. Rawls' influence is also evident in the country's legal and social policies. However, implementing Rawls' principles is not without challenges. Achieving full equality requires continuous effort and creative political thinking to address the evolving socio-economic landscape. The role of the state is crucial in narrowing the gap between the rich and the poor and ensuring that laws benefit all levels of society. John Rawls' Theory of Justice offers a powerful framework for addressing social justice in India. The Indian Constitution embodies many of Rawls' concepts, aiming to uplift disadvantaged communities and ensure fair treatment and opportunities for all citizens. While challenges remain, Rawls' principles provide a guiding philosophy for building a more just and equitable society in India.

Ongoing Discussion

John Rawls' thought experiments and derived notions from his 1971 work, "A Theory of Justice," continue to be profoundly relevant and beneficial in contemporary discourse. As we navigate the complexities of globalization, environmental degradation, evolving job trends, and the pervasive influence of international corporations, Rawls' principles of justice, equality, and fairness provide a critical framework for addressing these challenges.

Rawls' work remains pivotal in discussions about human rights, democratization, pluralism, and constitutionalism. Economic scholars like Amartya Sen and Nien-he Hsieh have applied Rawls' theory to explore the foundational elements of a fair economic system. Hsieh, in particular, has extended Rawls'

concepts into business ethics, arguing that transnational firms have an obligation to support individuals in emerging economies by implementing fair labor rights and environmental regulations. Similarly, contemporary environmental literature, including the works of Norwegian political theorist Oluf Longhell, adapts Rawlsian concepts to address the distribution of environmental resources, paralleling Rawls' examination of economic goods (Diongi & Kludsoy, pp.60-61).

The extensive influence of Rawls' work is evident through the multitude of publications and commentaries from prominent scholars across diverse disciplines, including philosophy, political science, economics, theology, legal theory, and sociology. These commentaries span a broad spectrum of analytical perspectives such as communitarianism, perfectionism, Marxism, feminism, cosmopolitanism, and postmodernism. Esteemed thinkers like H.L.A. Hart, Jürgen Habermas, Ronald Dworkin, and Amartya Sen, among others, have engaged with Rawls' ideas, testifying to their enduring significance and the depth of intellectual engagement they inspire (Young, 2009, p.3).

Rawls' theory of justice continues to shape contemporary philosophical discourse and influence scholars in various fields. For instance, an academic studying sustainable development might use Rawlsian arguments to advocate for the moral and ethical imperative of sustainable practices, which benefit both current and future generations. The ongoing relevance of Rawls' work underscores its foundational role in shaping our understanding of justice and its application in an increasingly interconnected and complex world.

Concluding Remarks

John Rawls' Theory of Justice has left an indelible mark on political philosophy and social justice discourse. His groundbreaking work, "A Theory of Justice," introduced novel methodologies that revitalized the field, shifting focus from stability and order to equitable resource distribution and social cooperation. Rawls' principles of justice have not only influenced political philosophy but also extended into practical domains like healthcare, sustainable development, and efforts to address racial and ethnic injustices. In the Indian context, Rawls' ideas resonate deeply with constitutional provisions aimed at promoting equality and justice for all citizens, particularly disadvantaged groups. Despite critiques and ongoing debates, Rawls' theory continues to inspire scholars and policymakers worldwide, offering a robust framework for analyzing and addressing contemporary challenges in global justice and human rights. Rawls' enduring relevance underscores his status as a seminal thinker whose ideas remain essential for navigating complex socio-political landscapes in the 21st century.

References

1. Asirvatham, E., Misra, K.K. (1998). *Political Theory*. S. Chand & Company LTD.: New Delhi.
2. Bellamy, R. (2003). Liberalism in Roger Eatwell & Anthony Wright (Eds.) *Contemporary Political Ideologies*. Rawat Publications: Jaipur. Pg. **23-50**.
3. Bevir, M., Galisanka, A. (2012). John Rawls in Historical Context. *History of Political Thought*. 33(4). Pg. **701-725**.
4. Bhargava, R., Acharya, A. (2017). *Political Theory- An Introduction*. Pearson India Education Services Pvt. Ltd.: Delhi.
5. Chandler, D. (2023). *Free and Equal: What Would a Fair Society Look Like?* Penguin Random House: Dublin.
6. Dionigi, F., Kleidosty, J. *John Rawls's A Theory of Justice*. Macat International Ltd.: London.
7. Douglass, R.B. (2012). John Rawls and Revival of Political Philosophy: Where Does He Leave Us? *Theoria; A Journal of Social and Political Theory*, 59(133). Pg. **81-97**.
8. Fritz, Z., Cox, C. (2018). Conflicting demands on a modern healthcare service: Can Rawlsian justice provide a guiding philosophy for the NHS and other socialized health services? *Bioethics*. Pg. **1-8**. DOI: 10.1111/bioe.12568. Retrieved from wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/bioe.
9. Penn, J. (1990). Towards an ecologically -based society: a Rawlsian perspective. *Ecological Economics*. 2(3). Pg. **225-242**.
10. Rashid, I. (2020). From behind the veil of Ignorance: John Rawls and Covid-19. *The Hindu*. 22 May. Retrieved from <https://www.thehindu.com/society/from-behind-the-veil-john-rawls-and-covid-19/article31649350.ece/amp/>.
11. Singh, M. (2019). Social Justice and Sustainable Development. *Problems of Sustainable Development*. 14(2). Pg. **57-62**.
12. Yanqing, C., Wang, X., Teng, J. (2006). Revival and Significance of Political Philosophy at Present Time. *Frontiers of Philosophy in China*. 1(3). Pg. **506-515**.
13. Young, Shaun P. (2009). *Introduction* in Shaun P. Young (Ed.) *Reflections on Rawls-An Assessment of his Legacy*. Ashgate Publishing Limited: England.